

EXHIBIT [E14]

PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT WITH ADDITIONAL INFORMATION TO UNKNOWN FBI OFFICIAL ¹

SEPTEMBER 15, 2020

[(0:03)] FBI Official Unknown: FBI Los Angeles, how can I help you?

[(0:05)] Plaintiff: Hi, are you the duty agent?

[(0:10)] FBI Official Unknown: What does this on regards to?

[(0:12)] Plaintiff: I need to add information to a previous complaint that I filed, and also see if you can give me any status on it.

[(0:21)] FBI Official Unknown: I can take the information that you need to add, but in terms of status, we can't give out statuses in terms of what exactly is happening.

[(0:32)] Plaintiff: Okay. Are you even able to tell me if it's been closed? Because if it is, there's no point in giving any more information.

[(0:41)] FBI Official Unknown: I'm sorry, I can't give out any information in terms of cases, or if there even is a case.

[(0:48)] Plaintiff: Oh, okay. All right. So then--

[(0:53)] FBI Official Unknown: If you don't mind me starting with your name?

[(0:57)] Plaintiff: Sure. My name is Adam, A-D-A-M, and my last name is Bereki, It's spelled like Bereki, so B-E-R-E-K-I.

[(1:11)] FBI Official Unknown: Okay.

[(1:12)] Plaintiff: And I had made a complaint a couple of months ago for Deprivation of Constitutional Rights by public officials acting without authority in California.

¹ NOTE: All efforts have been made to ensure this transcript's accuracy. However, it was created by a third-party transcription company. It is not a verbatim transcript in that 'words' such as "um" and "uh", stuttering, and other 'abnormalities' inconsistent with written speech have been excluded. Because neither the transcriber nor Plaintiff's assistant (who reviewed the transcript for accuracy) were present during the call, there may be slight discrepancies. The actual audio recording should be relied upon for best evidence.

[(1:25)] FBI Official Unknown: Okay. So what's the updated information?

[(1:30)] Plaintiff: The updated information is that on June 22nd, 2020, the US Supreme Court announced its decision in a case called Liu v SEC, and that case defined what the word disgorgement means. Basically, what happened was, I was fined almost a million dollars for allegedly, not having a construction license. I said, wait a minute, this is an excessive fine. You can't find me without going through the excessive fines protections. They said, no, it's not, it's disgorgement. Well, disgorgement is not what they did to me, they fined me.

The Supreme Court, in this Liu v SEC case, defined exactly what the word disgorgement means. There's not even a statute in California law that defines what disgorgement is despite that being the heart of the issue in the judgment on the case against me.

They defined what that is, and that definition clearly evidences that I was fined and not given this civil remedy of disgorgement. Now--

[(2:46)] FBI Official Unknown: I'm sorry, let me stop you there real fast. If you don't mind me asking, are you claiming to be the victim in this, or would--

[(2:58)] Plaintiff: Yes, I'm claiming to be the victim. Because this is a policy that, in part, California's been enforcing upon people for at least 30 years, more like 70. And what happens is, let's say that you do work, you don't have a construction license, they fine you anywhere-- the fine is virtually limited, but let's just say, in my case, it's like a million dollars, you have no rights, they put you through a criminal trial disguised as a Civil Trial, so you don't get any of the protections of criminal proceedings, and then they say, oh, it's not a fine, not punishment, it's disgorgement. So they've literally been financially destroying people for over 30 years. They have no authority to do it. And then when you go to complain about it, nobody wants to do anything about it.

[(3:52)] FBI Official Unknown: I hear you. I'm not 100% sure what exactly we can do for you in terms of this. I think what you need is more of a lawyer. I mean, I'll take it, and I'll add your information in terms of the Supreme Court noting the definition. But I think what you need is a lawyer in this.

[(4:23)] Plaintiff: I understand where you're coming from, but I disagree because I've gone through the whole legal process. The issue is that there are public officials taking money without Constitutional Authority. I'm not sure why that doesn't-- I mean, I called you, because, on the US Department of Justice's website, it states that for deprivations of constitutional rights pursuant to 18 USC 241 or 242, call your local FBI office.

[(4:55)] FBI Official Unknown: Exactly. Yeah.

[(4:57)] Plaintiff: Okay. So my constitutional rights have been violated, and this policy is in California, and no matter what you do within the judicial system or the legislative system, to, say, hey, wait a minute, something's wrong with this. They don't listen. It just keeps happening. So where else am I going to go? If I were to bring an attorney right now, so to speak, in violent

action in California Court, it goes nowhere because they said this is the decision of the Court, it's final, and that's how it is. This is our public policy.

I need to go somewhere outside of California to get help. I have been in touch with my Senator and Assembly person. And frankly, one of them has been ignoring me and the other one closed my complaint. Where else am I going to go?

[(5:53)] FBI Official Unknown: Okay. Like I said, I have your name, I have the updated information, I can note it if there is something in there. But like i said, I can't confirm or deny anything in terms of the case update, or even if there is a case.

[(6:15)] Plaintiff: Okay.

[(6:16)] FBI Official Unknown: In terms of what I can do for you, that's kind of it at the current moment.

[(6:21)] Plaintiff: Okay. I would also like to add, whether it does anything or not, that the judicial council of California...

[(6:31)] FBI Official Unknown: Okay.

[(6:32)] Plaintiff: Which is the entity that you could potentially make a complaint to. They have a commission on judicial performance that I believe is underneath them. I don't know that to be fact, but I believe it to be. They have attempted to prosecute someone and used approximately \$3 million of the Public's money to do this prosecution, or what I believe to be a prosecution would be, more accurately. The issue that I'm saying to you is, again, the judicial department is involved in this, and you can essentially go to them when they're the ones that are perpetrating it.

[(7:12)] FBI Official Unknown: Okay. All right, I noted it. I think I understand your complaint. Like I said, in terms of what I can offer you at the moment, I'm already kind of at my limit.

[(7:27)] Plaintiff: Okay. I understand that. That's no problem.

[(7:29)] FBI Official Unknown: Yeah.

[(7:30)] Plaintiff: Okay. You do what you got to do, and I did what I got to do, and that's it, it's all's good. I appreciate your help. Thank you.

[(7:35)] FBI Official Unknown: All right. Have a good day.

[(7:36)] Plaintiff: have a good day. Okay. You too. Bye.

[(7:38)] FBI Official Unknown: Bye.

[(7:41)] Plaintiff: I believe that was the LA County FBI, but I called 7149398699.

[END]