

EXHIBIT [E10]

PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT TO LANCE CHRISTENSEN OF SENATOR MOORLACH'S OFFICE¹

AUGUST 17, 2020

[(0:02)] Unk. Employee Sen. Moorlach's Office: Senator Moorlach's office.

[(0:04)] Plaintiff: Yeah. Hi. I called earlier and spoke with someone different, a guy. Is he there? I don't know what his name is.

[(0:11)] Unk. Employee Sen. Moorlach's Office: What was your name?

[(0:12)] Plaintiff: My name is Adam. I had actually called to make an appointment either on the phone or in person with Senator Moorlach and he said that the Senator was too busy. So, I just wanted to make sure that that's the case because I thought the guy was supposed to be representing us.

[(0:27)] Unk. Employee Sen. Moorlach's Office: Yes, so the Senator is currently up in Sacramento and he's very busy with the committee and floor session right now. Were you just recalling in regards to a specific bill or?

[(0:40)] Plaintiff: Well, yeah, it's Assembly Bill 678 and it was in 2001. I think it's highly unconstitutional and unlawful for them to even pass it. Actually, what I had done is I tried to file a petition for redress of grievance, pursuant to the California Constitution with Assemblywoman Cottie Petrie-Norris.

She has refused to reply to any of the letters I've mailed and communication that I've had with her office via email. So, I'm reaching out to Senator Moorlach because I'm in his district and I want something to be done.

[(1:24)] Unk. Employee Sen. Moorlach's Office: Okay. I would say give her capital office a call and see and communicate with them and see if they have any recommendations on how to move forward with this request.

[(1:36)] Plaintiff: Okay. What is the phone number for the capital office, please?

¹ NOTE: All efforts have been made to ensure this transcript's accuracy. However, it was created by a third-party transcription company. It is not a verbatim transcript in that 'words' such as "um" and "uh", stuttering, and other 'abnormalities' inconsistent with written speech have been excluded. Because neither the transcriber nor Plaintiff's assistant (who reviewed the transcript for accuracy) were present during the call, there may be slight discrepancies. The actual audio recording should be relied upon for best evidence.

[(1:38)] Unk. Employee Sen. Moorlach's Office: Yes. 9-1-6-6-5-1-4-0-3-7.

[(1:45)] Plaintiff: Okay. Is there someone I should speak to there?

[(1:48)] Unk. Employee Sen. Moorlach's Office: Right now there's kind of a limited capacity of staff members that could be in at once. So, I'm not too sure who's in at this moment but I would imagine anyone up there could help you.

[(1:56)] Plaintiff: Okay. Great. Thank you. And what was your name?

[(1:59)] Unk. Employee Sen. Moorlach's Office: Alexa.

[(2:00)] Plaintiff: Alexa, thank you so much.

[(2:01)] Unk. Employee Sen. Moorlach's Office: We can't help, but this is definitely more their forte since they're primarily the legislative office and we're more district office. I think that for this specific request, they might be able to provide you with more insight.

[(2:13)] Plaintiff: Okay. You're so kind. Thank you for your help, Alexa.

[(2:15)] Unk. Employee Sen. Moorlach's Office: No problem. Have a good day.

[(2:16)] Plaintiff: Okay. You too. Bye-bye.

[(2:23)] Unk. Employee Sen. Moorlach's Office: Senator Moorlach's office.

[(2:25)] Plaintiff: Which office?

[(2:27)] Unk. Employee Sen. Moorlach's Office: Moorlach.

[(2:27)] Plaintiff: Oh!

[(2:28)] Unk. Employee Sen. Moorlach's Office: John Moorlach.

[(2:29)] Plaintiff: Oh! Okay. The district office in Costa Mesa gave me your phone number.

[(2:34)] Unk. Employee Sen. Moorlach's Office: Okay.

[(2:36)] Plaintiff: I was trying to reach Senator Moorlach because I live in his district. I'm calling about what I feel to be a very unconstitutional assembly bill that had been passed.

[(2:49)] Unk. Employee Sen. Moorlach's Office: Go ahead.

[(2:49)] Plaintiff: I had filed a petition for redress of grievance with the legislature pursuant to the California Constitution. And I did that through Assemblywoman Cottie Petrie-Norris's office.

[(3:02)] Unk. Employee Sen. Moorlach's Office: Is she the author of the bill?

[(3:03)] Plaintiff: No, she's not.

[(3:05)] Unk. Employee Sen. Moorlach's Office: Okay.

[(3:06)] Plaintiff: It was back in 2001 and I filed the petition for redress with her back in February and she's not replying to any of my email or letter correspondence.

[(3:21)] Unk. Employee Sen. Moorlach's Office: Have you tried calling her office?

[(3:22)] Plaintiff: Yes.

[(3:24)] Unk. Employee Sen. Moorlach's Office: Are you a constituent of hers?

[(3:26)] Plaintiff: Yes.

[(3:28)] Unk. Employee Sen. Moorlach's Office: Okay. Are you a constituent of Senator Moorlach's as well?

[(3:34)] Plaintiff: I believe so. Yes. I'm in the 37th district, I live in Costa Mesa.

[(3:40)] Unk. Employee Sen. Moorlach's Office: Okay. What are you asking our office to do?

[(3:47)] Plaintiff: I would like to find out the status of the petition that I filed and I would like to speak with Senator Moorlach about something being done about this because it's very illegal. People are being forced into bankruptcy by these outrageous fines and the courts are not even recognizing it.

[(4:10)] Unk. Employee Sen. Moorlach's Office: What's the bill number?

[(4:11)] Plaintiff: It's Assembly Bill 678. It was passed in 2001. It's otherwise known as Business and Professions Code 7031, subsection B.

[(4:23)] Unk. Employee Sen. Moorlach's Office: Okay, sir. What is your name?

[(4:25)] Plaintiff: My name is Adam and last name Bereki, B-E-R-E-K-I.

[(4:32)] Unk. Employee Sen. Moorlach's Office: B-E-R?

[(4:34)] Plaintiff: E-K-I.

[(4:36)] Unk. Employee Sen. Moorlach's Office: All right. I'm going to put Lance on the line.
[?][4:38]

[(4:38)] Plaintiff: Thank you.

[(4:41)] Lance Christensen Sen. Moorlach's Chief of Staff: Hi, I'm Lance. May I help you?

[(4:43)] Plaintiff: What was your name? Lance?

[(4:45)] Lance Christensen Sen. Moorlach's Chief of Staff: Lance, yeah.

[(4:46)] Plaintiff: Hi, I'm Adam. How are you?

[(4:48)] Lance Christensen Sen. Moorlach's Chief of Staff: How are you?

[(4:49)] Plaintiff: I'm good. How are you?

[(4:51)] Lance Christensen Sen. Moorlach's Chief of Staff: I'm doing well.

[(4:53)] Plaintiff: I'm not sure why I was transferred to you. Could you share what your function is within Senator Moorlach's office?

[(4:59)] Lance Christensen Sen. Moorlach's Chief of Staff: I am the senator's chief of staff.

[(5:01)] Plaintiff: Okay. So, the reason I'm calling, Lance, is because I was fined almost a million dollars pursuant to Assembly Bill 678 and it happened in 2001.

[(5:21)] Lance Christensen Sen. Moorlach's Chief of Staff: What does this bill do?

[(5:24)] Plaintiff: I'm sorry.

[(5:25)] Lance Christensen Sen. Moorlach's Chief of Staff: What did the bill do?

[(5:27)] Plaintiff: The bill said that if you are found to be an unlicensed contractor, you have to refund all of the money that was paid to you. So basically, let's say that you hire me to build you a 20 million dollar house in Newport Beach. I build the house. You pay me 20 million bucks. I build you the 20 million dollar house and then you find out that I'm an unlicensed contractor. So you sue me and under this bill, I have to give you the \$20 million back and you get to keep the house.

[(6:04)] Lance Christensen Sen. Moorlach's Chief of Staff: Okay.

[(6:05)] Plaintiff: That's fucking insanity, putting it bluntly.

[(6:07)] Lance Christensen Sen. Moorlach's Chief of Staff: Did you hire a lawyer to deal with this?

[(6:10)] Plaintiff: Yes.

[(6:12)] Lance Christensen Sen. Moorlach's Chief of Staff: And what happened?

[(6:13)] Plaintiff: They fined me, well in my case, it was building a million-dollar house and I got fined almost a million dollars. The courts in California do not recognize that this is a fine. I'm not joking you, they don't. They call it something called disgorgement and it's not disgorgement. I'm not sure if you have a legal background, but if you do, we can talk more about it.

[(6:40)] Lance Christensen Sen. Moorlach's Chief of Staff: No, I mean I deal enough with the law that I have an idea what you're talking about.

[(6:44)] Plaintiff: Okay. So basically, the US Supreme court just came down with the case. They entered the case on June 22nd of this year and what's been going on is courts have been abusing this notion called disgorgement. Disgorgement basically means that if you perform an illegal act, you don't get to keep the profits of that act. That's fine. I get that. Like a bank robber, if he steals 20,000 bucks, he doesn't keep the profits of the 20,000 bucks. He has to give it back. It's called restitution.

So what the courts are doing in these cases is they're reading the statute, which says you have to give a refund of all compensation you were paid, and they're turning the word compensation into mean a full forfeiture of all of the transactional money that was exchanged and they're not using an offset for the benefits that were conferred. Does that make sense?

[(7:39)] Lance Christensen Sen. Moorlach's Chief of Staff: Yeah.

[(7:40)] Plaintiff: Okay. So that's the problem. I have the legislative history and if you read it, that's what the legislature intended. The problem is, the courts are supposed to step in and say, "Hey, wait a minute, you can't do this because it's an excessive fine." And they're not. This has been going on since at least 2001, but the 7031 statute goes all the way back to 1929 when the A section was instituted.

[(8:14)] Lance Christensen Sen. Moorlach's Chief of Staff: Okay. So what are you looking from us?

[(8:17)] Plaintiff: Well, I called because I had filed a petition for redress of grievance, according to the California Constitution with Assemblywoman Cottie Petrie-Norris. I spoke to one of her representatives, Jess Wang[?][8:30]. And Jess had me...

[(8:32)] Lance Christensen Sen. Moorlach's Chief of Staff: Why did you file it with her?

[(8:34)] Plaintiff: I didn't know where else to file it. She's my [crosstalk] I'm her... No, I don't think that a petition like that gets filed in the court. I mean, maybe it does but [crosstalk]

[(8:46)] Lance Christensen Sen. Moorlach's Chief of Staff: In cases like that come through us and the legislative processes it all.

[(8:50)] Plaintiff: Hmm. I would have no idea why it would go to a court.

[(8:54)] Lance Christensen Sen. Moorlach's Chief of Staff: Because we're the legislative branch. We don't let you to get anything.

[(9:00)] Plaintiff: But I'm...

[(9:01)] Lance Christensen Sen. Moorlach's Chief of Staff: [inaudible] which is why we have this conversation, but I have zero impact on how the legal process works.

[(9:12)] Plaintiff: Okay. So, I don't have the 1879 Constitution in front of me. I will get it in one sec, but I'm gonna read you the 1849 constitution because that's never been repealed. Here's what it says, "The people shall have the right to freely assemble together to consult for the common good to instruct the representatives and to petition the legislature for redress of grievances." I will pull up the 1879 Constitution in a minute. However, the courts are part of the problem. So, I'm petitioning the legislature and I don't know why I would go to the court when they're part of the problem. I mean, the legislature is too but-

[(9:56)] Lance Christensen Sen. Moorlach's Chief of Staff: I don't disagree and you're not the first person that called us and have trouble with the court for various different reasons about this disagreement but definitely real. Once you file that petition, what's your expectation?

[(10:13)] Plaintiff: Well, my expectation was, essentially, that Cottie, being a constituent in her area, that she would take that to the legislature and she would say, "We have a grievance that's been filed against us, and here's what the circumstances are pertaining to it. We need to do an investigation and find out if, in fact, this guy is a nut job or if what he's saying is in fact true and correct. And if it is, then we need to take immediate action because we're literally destroying people's lives and sending them to bankruptcy without constitutional authority. And we're opening ourselves up to severe liability for doing that."

[(10:52)] Lance Christensen Sen. Moorlach's Chief of Staff: Yeah. I mean, you're welcome to send it to us. I don't know exactly who you would petition because how the process works in Sacramento is there's a series of legislative committees that cover different issues. Your issue would probably be on the set they have Business Professions Code and Committee they work through. I think that covers most of the contractor licensing type issues. I could give it to a consultant for them to look at and they could likely pass that to the Chair of the Committee. Unless they had time to schedule a complete hearing for your issue. I don't know exactly what they would do, except for suggest maybe further clarifying [inaudible]

[(11:44)] Plaintiff: Well, that's not what this constitutional right to a petition is.

[(11:50)] Lance Christensen Sen. Moorlach's Chief of Staff: No, I get it. You can write the petition to your legislature, but it doesn't say what [inaudible] do about it, does it?

[(11:58)] Plaintiff: I don't believe so, but wouldn't the right involve a remedy of them doing something?

[(12:03)] Lance Christensen Sen. Moorlach's Chief of Staff: Well, with that, I'm asking. What do

you suppose your remedy is though?

[(12:07)] Plaintiff: Well, for them to...

[(12:08)] Lance Christensen Sen. Moorlach's Chief of Staff: What I'm trying to get at is I'm not opposed to saying your petition. I'm not opposed to hearing the grievance out. I'll explain though right now, because of the pandemic, we have a lot of other bills that we're trying to fix some various substantive issues. So, out of the 24 we happen to drop 17 of them and we've all been able to get a couple of very technical fixes. They've eliminated most of the legislative hearings. They've eliminated all the audit and oversight hearings that had to do with these kinds of complaints. And we won't probably have another legislative hearing that's in the normal sort of function of oversight and try to do it perhaps as yours until next January.

So again, I'm happy to receive it. I'm happy to look at and review it, and I'm happy to share it with other people that might have some better insight about what to do with it. But I don't know what the remedy you would expect sending it to me. And I'm not trying to be flippant here. I'm really interested in it. It sounds like a case that's pretty significant and probably needs to be clarified in law. I don't know exactly though, because we're not a judicial part of the judicial branch. What remedy we can grant to you.

[(13:31)] Plaintiff: Yeah, no, I totally appreciate you not being flippant and just like being no bullshit with me. I totally appreciate that. The remedy that I'm looking for obviously is if I have the right to file a petition, the remedy would be that the legislature investigates the grievance. As I said before, do they say, "Hey, is this a guy a nut job who doesn't know the law and doesn't know what he's talking about? Or have we made a serious error? We're really harming people by doing this and we don't have authority to do it." And so, if there is no authority, lawful authority for the legislature to have passed this act, that remedy would mean immediately that they have to change it. I don't think that that's a matter of whether they decide they want to have a hearing on it or not. It's a must. If their investigation concludes that what I'm saying is true.

I mean, here's what happens. So someone like me, if you consider the comparable criminal penalty for the same offense, it's a maximum fine of up to 5,000 bucks. All right? So, someone that gets sued in the civil sense, like me, they end up with a nearly million dollars fine and so you end up in bankruptcy. I know of one man who lost his home from this and he petitioned the legislature and they didn't do shit about it. I'm a little bit different breed than that guy. I'm sinking my teeth into it, and I'm going to see that something's done about it. That's why I keep pressing the issue and keep making phone calls and sending letters and trying to figure out what's going on.

Believe me, I do appreciate the situation that you guys are in with the COVID-19 issue and all of that. I don't know what you can do beyond what you can do. However, there's a lien attached to the property that I'm a trustee of because of this and that property could be forced into bankruptcy at any time.

[(15:32)] Lance Christensen Sen. Moorlach's Chief of Staff: Is it the property that you built or managed or owned or this is for what? What happened to you?

[(15:40)] Plaintiff: Okay. I'll try to give you the simplest Reader's Digest version. I went and took the contractor state licensing exam, I think back in 2007, to get a general contractor's license and they made me what's called a qualifying individual for the license. I had the work experience and I passed the exam and background check. The name of the license was in my company, not in my name. So, when I went to court with these clients of mine, they were just private clients that wanted a custom home remodeled. They alleged that they didn't actually contract with my company that was a licensed contractor. They contracted with me.

[(16:22)] Lance Christensen Sen. Moorlach's Chief of Staff: Are you a sole proprietor?

[(16:24)] Plaintiff: No. I was a close friend of this family and my company did work for them for years, but the court said...

[(16:32)] Lance Christensen Sen. Moorlach's Chief of Staff: Or you yourself do not have a contractor's license. Is that what you're saying?

[(16:37)] Plaintiff: Correct. All right. So even though I had passed all the requirements and had the experience and all of that, and was the qualifying individual for my company's license, the court said, "Well, it looks to me like you are the one that contracted with them and not your company. So you owe them basically a forfeiture of all of the proceeds that they paid you." Which turns out to be \$930,000. So they got to keep all the remodel work. They got a full refund of the \$848,000 that they paid for that and then the court also ordered that my company or I, whoever it is, forfeit the \$82,000 that they hadn't paid for work that was performed.

[(17:22)] Lance Christensen Sen. Moorlach's Chief of Staff: And so was your company implicated in any of this?

[(17:28)] Plaintiff: Not directly. I mean, they did sue my company, but they dropped that suit because the suit became solely against me, for so to speak, not having a license.

[(17:37)] Lance Christensen Sen. Moorlach's Chief of Staff: Okay.

[(17:37)] Plaintiff: So there's actually two issues here. One is, if you go down and you take the exam and you are determined to be competent to be a contractor, that's one issue because this statute should not have any application to someone like that whatsoever. The only issue at that point is not a matter of public safety and the welfare of society. It's about whether you pay the fee or not, a licensing fee. So, that's one issue.

The second issue is that they're taking that issue and then saying, "Oh, even though you passed the exam and did all that, we're still not considering you a licensed contractor and you're subject to this full forfeiture without offsets for the benefits that you conferred." So that means like this guy, he got fined \$916,000. He did the work, and the company said, "Hey, he did a great job. He just didn't have a license." Well, he had a license, it was just in his company name and not in his personal name. Same issue.

And so, when you go to the courts and you say, "Wait a minute, I gave them their money back by doing the work and buying all the materials and all of that." Now it's a different story in court if they have damages. We need to have a hearing for damages if there are damages. But in this context, I gave them all the money back and bought all the materials and so their property gained value by the amount of work that I did. And the court says, "You know, we don't wanna hear it. This is what the legislature said."

[(19:12)] Lance Christensen Sen. Moorlach's Chief of Staff: Okay. I mean, you're more than welcome to send the information. I'm happy to review with staff whoever is in this space. I don't know that beyond whatever remedies use out to the court the legislature could offer.

[(19:29)] Plaintiff: Okay.

[(19:29)] Lance Christensen Sen. Moorlach's Chief of Staff: It's not like they can go back to the courts or the license board and say, "Hey, change this." They don't react in the legislature unless it's a [inaudible] you're more welcome to talk to me. I don't know what more we can do, what kind of remedy you'll be able to get.

[(19:50)] Plaintiff: Okay. So I sent all of this, including a thumb drive with all of the exhibits to the district office in Costa Mesa. Would you be hy-

[(19:59)] Lance Christensen Sen. Moorlach's Chief of Staff: To our district office?

[(20:00)] Plaintiff: Yes.

[(20:01)] Lance Christensen Sen. Moorlach's Chief of Staff: Okay.

[(20:02)] Plaintiff: Yes. I don't know if maybe you could call Alexa who I spoke with there. Maybe you could...

[(20:07)] Lance Christensen Sen. Moorlach's Chief of Staff: Did you talk to Alexa about it?

[(20:08)] Plaintiff: Tell her to send it up to you or something like that.

[(20:11)] Lance Christensen Sen. Moorlach's Chief of Staff: Sure.

[(20:12)] Plaintiff: That would be great. Yeah. I don't know Lance, as far as I'm concerned, I would expect something to be done about this. Given if what I'm saying is true and it is unconstitutional and there's no authority for it, that should mean like people are jumping and taking care of it. Something should be done about it. So, I don't know. I mean, I'm giving it to you guys. And so you do with it, what you do with it, but that's just my take on it.

[(20:45)] Lance Christensen Sen. Moorlach's Chief of Staff: Yeah. I mean, I'll look at it. But when it comes down to it, we're a minority party in a democratic state. We can ask for hearings and for some sort of action to be taken, whether it happens or not, isn't almost always out of our control.

[(21:02)] Plaintiff: Wait a minute. So, Senator Moorlach is a Republican, is that what you're saying?

[(21:06)] Lance Christensen Sen. Moorlach's Chief of Staff: Correct.

[(21:07)] Plaintiff: Okay. I didn't know that. I don't get involved with state politics, but I think Cottie is a Democrat. Right?

[(21:14)] Lance Christensen Sen. Moorlach's Chief of Staff: She is.

[(21:14)] Plaintiff: Okay. Perfect. So, I've gone to both sides of the aisle, if you will.

[(21:22)] Lance Christensen Sen. Moorlach's Chief of Staff: Yeah, I mean, and I don't know Cottie's staff is relatively new and inexperienced. So I don't know what they'd be able to get you, but she's a Democrat. So she cries the loudest that you might be able to get further with her than with us. But it's not saying that I can't review or look at it. I just don't know exactly what kind of work we could offer to you.

[(21:45)] Plaintiff: Hmm. So, if I understand, and I'm just trying to understand how the whole process works because I don't have the experience that you do, if someone comes and presents evidence that there is an unconstitutional bill or unconstitutional law-

[(22:00)] Lance Christensen Sen. Moorlach's Chief of Staff: [inaudible 90 percent of?] the bills they pass out of this building, [inaudible frankly just?] about everything they pass out of this building is unconstitutional. I can't tell you how many bills, how many issues we [deal?] with on a daily basis are unconstitutional. But in the end, unless the court finds it unconstitutional and requires some sort of remedy there's literally nothing we can do about it. I mean, I could spend the next hour, just the bills we passed [inaudible]...

But unless the Georgia party wants to move to do something special for constituent, it's very, I can't think done the thing like this in this, the only comparable thing I can think of is when somebody's released from prison. They were in prison unjustly and there is a requirement for the state to pay, you know, many days or of our prisoners die for all that compensation. We do a bill like that every year. And it's a handful of cases say, I would say pretty much all of them, I can't think of exception are those that were roughly in prison.

[(23:14)] Plaintiff: Okay.

[(23:15)] Lance Christensen Sen. Moorlach's Chief of Staff: For different reasons.

[(23:16)] Plaintiff: Well, here's kind of another aspect of that. That is, because of this unconstitutional law, my license has been suspended. I can't work.

[(23:32)] Lance Christensen Sen. Moorlach's Chief of Staff: No, I understand.

[(23:33)] Plaintiff: That's a major problem because essentially that's imprisonment in my book. If I don't have the liberty to use my faculties to sustain my life and have employment in this capacity that I want to work in, that's a major problem.

[(23:51)] Lance Christensen Sen. Moorlach's Chief of Staff: Yeah I know, believe me. I 100% understand the problem. I'm happy to review and look at it and...

[(23:58)] Plaintiff: Okay.

[(23:58)] Lance Christensen Sen. Moorlach's Chief of Staff: ...see if there's anything I can ask you. But I'll tell you, unless the court is going to come in your favor and declare that there need remedies, a very slim [inaudible] is doing anything at all.

[(24:14)] Plaintiff: Okay. Is there some sort of policy that you're aware of or not aware of if there is a policy for handling redresses of grievance?

[(24:26)] Lance Christensen Sen. Moorlach's Chief of Staff: I've been in the building for 15 years.

[(24:28)] Plaintiff: Uh-huh.

[(24:28)] Lance Christensen Sen. Moorlach's Chief of Staff: I've never had to deal with this. So, I've worked for various members of the legislature.

[(24:33)] Plaintiff: Okay.

[(24:34)] Lance Christensen Sen. Moorlach's Chief of Staff: I'd be happy to ask other people who have been here longer, but I'm not aware of any specific process for handling or redressing these grievances outside of the judicial process.

[(24:48)] Plaintiff: Okay. Yeah. I read you the section 10 of the 1849 Constitution. It's section three of the 1879 when I look at that.

[(24:56)] Lance Christensen Sen. Moorlach's Chief of Staff: Look, I don't discourage you, but when you look for redress, the redress can come in different forms. And sometimes that redress is compensation. Sometimes that redress is changing the law. Sometimes that redress is reinstating certain licenses, but again, unless the legislation goes out and for one person rewrites the law or creates regulation, I'm not exactly sure how we could address that.

[(25:25)] Plaintiff: Okay. So all of those...

[(25:26)] Lance Christensen Sen. Moorlach's Chief of Staff: It has a completely legislative process, not one penny gets spent in this billing without it going through a legislative process.

[(25:35)] Plaintiff: Okay. So those [crosstalk]

[(25:36)] Lance Christensen Sen. Moorlach's Chief of Staff: Without the signature of the governor.

[(25:40)] Plaintiff: Those forms of redress that you just mentioned are all three that I'm seeking. I know you asked me that question earlier, but that's exactly what I would like. It's for the law to be changed, to be compensated for the injuries that have occurred as a result, and to have my license reinstated.

[(25:57)] Lance Christensen Sen. Moorlach's Chief of Staff: Okay. Then that would be a full legislature process that would take probably two years to get done.

[(26:04)] Plaintiff: Okay. I sent the thing to the district office in Costa Mesa. So if you would be so kind just to call Alexa and let her know, then maybe she can keep an eye out for it. I just sent it today.

[(26:16)] Lance Christensen Sen. Moorlach's Chief of Staff: Okay. I will look for it and feel free to call me in a little while and see what I've had a chance to review and I'll tell you what I know.

[(26:31)] Plaintiff: Okay. Lance, thank you so much. Lance was your name, right?

[(26:34)] Lance Christensen Sen. Moorlach's Chief of Staff: Yep.

[(26:34)] Plaintiff: Okay. Thank you so much for your time. I really appreciate you. Okay, buddy. Take care. Bye.

[(26:39)] Lance Christensen Sen. Moorlach's Chief of Staff: Have a good day. Bye-bye.

[(26:44)] Plaintiff: That was a call to the capital building (916) 651-4037 on August 17, 2020.

[END]